UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION

ANGEL DAVID RIOS-ROSA,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
VS.)	Case No. 1:23-CV-11 CDP
)	
BILL STANGE,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER OF TRANSFER

Petitioner, a convicted state prisoner presently incarcerated at Southeast Correctional Center (SECC) in Charleston, Missouri, has filed a *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. For the following reasons, the Court will transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri and provisionally grant Petitioner's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 3), subject to modification by the transferee court.

In May 2013, Petitioner pled guilty to a charge of second-degree burglary in the Circuit Court of Buchanan County, Missouri. *State v. Rios-Rosa*, No. 13BU-CR00219-01 (5th Jud. Cir. 2013). Petitioner was sentenced to twelve (12) years' incarceration with the Missouri Department of Corrections. This is the judgment Petitioner challenges in his § 2254 petition currently before the Court. ECF No. 1 at 1.

Buchanan County is located in the Western District of Missouri. 28 U.S.C. § 105(b)(3). SECC, where Petitioner is currently incarcerated, is located in the Eastern District of Missouri. 28 U.S.C. § 105(a)(3). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), the district courts for the Eastern District of Missouri and the Western District of Missouri have concurrent jurisdiction to hear this case. In circumstances such as this, the district court in which the petition was originally filed may, in the

exercise of its discretion and in the furtherance of justice, transfer the case to the other district for

hearing and determination. Id. In addition, the Court entered an administrative order stating that,

absent any unusual circumstances, any habeas petition challenging a conviction or sentence arising

out of a proceeding in the Western District of Missouri should be transferred to that district. In re

Business of the Court, January 27, 1986. A district court, for the convenience of the parties and

witnesses and in the interest of justice, may transfer any civil action to any other district or division

where it might have been brought. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a), 1406(a).

Transfer of this action is appropriate because Petitioner's constitutional claims are based

upon a conviction entered in a circuit court located within the Western District of Missouri, the

relevant records are located there, and if hearings are required, the Western District of Missouri

would be more convenient for witnesses. As such, the Court will therefore order that this case be

transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* [ECF

No. 3] is **provisionally GRANTED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and subject to modification by

the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall TRANSFER this case to the

United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a),

1406(a), 2241(d).

Dated this 8th day of February, 2023.

CATHERINE D. PERRY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE